#### Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000 Public Law 106-393

## Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

118-414

**AMOUNT EQUESTED \$8,942.5** 

| <ol> <li>Project Name: Grave Cree</li> <li>County: Jackson</li> <li>Project Sponsor: Michael</li> <li>Sponsors Phone #: 541-47</li> <li>Sponsor's E-mail: mborns</li> <li>Project Location (attach project</li> </ol> | Bornstein/Rose Hanrahan<br>1-6968/541-618-2297<br>ste@or.blm.gov/rhanraha@ | 5. <b>Date: 03/27/03</b> or.blm.gov |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| a. 4 <sup>th</sup> Field Watershed N  | ame and HUC #(if known):   | #17100310                           |  |  |  |  |
| b. 5 <sup>th</sup> Field Watershed Name and HUC #(if known): Grave Creek, #1710031003   |  |                                     |  |  |  |  |
| c. Legal Location:  | c. Legal Location:   |                                     |  |  |  |  |
| Township 33S Range 4W Section(s)15, NE1/4 of NW 1/4   |  |                                     |  |  |  |  |
| Township  | * *  | Section(s)                          |  |  |  |  |
| Township  |  | Section(s)                          |  |  |  |  |
| Township  |  | Section(s)                          |  |  |  |  |
| Township  | Range  | Section(s)                          |  |  |  |  |
| Township  | Range  | Section(s)<br>Section(s)            |  |  |  |  |
| Township  | Range  | Section(s)                          |  |  |  |  |
| Township  |  | Section(s)                          |  |  |  |  |
| Township  | Range  | Section(s)                          |  |  |  |  |
| d. BLM District : Medfo   | rd e. BLM Resource Are   | ea: Glendale                        |  |  |  |  |
| f. National Forest  | g. Fo:   | rest Service District               |  |  |  |  |
|   | / other lands involved? X□Y  | es No                               |  |  |  |  |

#### 9. Statement of Project Goals and Objectives:

1. Project Number (Assigned by federal unit):

The goals of this project are: 1) restoration of formerly mined riparian habitat to a more natural condition; and 2) enhancement of existing habitat to benefit wildlife and anadromous fish.

#### **10. Project Description:** (Provide concise description of project and attach map.)

This project will restore a former mining site to enhance riparian habitat through: 1) the creation of a rocked dam with an opening to permit fish passage (pond #3) and excavation to provide additional aquatic habitat and water-holding capacity for a longer duration; 2) excavation of an existing pond (pond #6) to provide more aquatic habitat for a longer duration; 3) vegetative plantings along streambanks and ponds to provide bank stability and shaded, vegetated habitats for fish and wildlife; and 4) recontouring of tailings piles to more closely match the original contour.

October 23, 2002 1

# Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

| 11. Coordination of this project with other related pr  | oject(s) on adjacent lands?                           |
|---|---|
| ☐ Yes ✗ No If yes, then describe.  On Boise Cascade land, located just upstream and downstream equipment and an operator to place log structures for fish habi and they have already provided rootwads for the pool structure | tat enhancement. Logs will be provided by Boise       |
| <b>12.</b> How does proposed project meet purposes of the I Improves maintenance of existing infrastructure.  | [Sec. 2(b)]   |
| Implements stewardship objectives that enhance  | forest ecosystems. [Sec. 2(b)]                        |
| X Restores and improves land health. [Sec. 2(b)]  |   |
| Restores water quality. [Sec. 2(b)]   |   |
| <b>13. Project Type</b> <i>(check one)</i> [Sec. 203(b)(1)]   |   |
| <b>Road</b> Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]   | ☐ Trail Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]                 |
| ☐ Road Decommission/Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]  | ☐ Trail Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]                |
| ☐ Other Infrastructure Maintenance (specify):   | [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]                                     |
| ☐ Soil Productivity Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(B)]   | $\square$ Forest Health Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(C)] |
| □ ★ Watershed Restoration & Mntc. [Sec. 2(b)(2)(D)]   | ☐ Wildlife Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)]      |
| ☐ Fish Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)]  | ☐ Control of Noxious Weeds [Sec. 2(b)(2)(F)]          |
| ☐ Reestablish Native Species [Sec. 2(b)(2)(G)]  |   |
| ☐ Other Project Type (specify) [Sec. 2(b)(2)]:  |   |
| 14. Measure of Project Accomplishments/Expected O (Use workload measures used for the budget process)   | <b>Outcomes</b> [Sec. 203(b)(5)]                      |
| a. Total Acres: b. To   | otal Miles:   |
| c. No. Structures: 1 d. Estimated People Reach  | ned (for environmental education projects): 100       |
| e. No. Of Laborer Days: 5   |   |
| f. Other (specify): g. Program Element: JI  |   |
| g. 110gram Element. Ji  |   |
| 15. Duration of Project and Estimated Completion Da   | ate [Sec. 203(b)(2)]: 1 week: estimated               |

# **15. Duration of Project and Estimated Completion Date** [Sec. 203(b)(2)]: 1 week; estimated completion: 12/31/04

### 16. Target Species (plants/wildlife etc.) Benefited: (if applicable)

Western pond turtle, Red-legged frog, Willow flycatcher, Wood duck, Coho salmon, steelhead.

## 17. How will cooperative relationships among people that use federal lands be improved? [Sec. 2(b)(3)]

Restoring a formerly highly disturbed mining site for wildlife and fish habitat enhances cooperative relationships among wildlife and fish users, including public wildlife viewing and recreational fishing opportunities, and demonstrates a stewardship commitment towards maintaining a high-quality riparian area.

## Secure Kural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000 Public Law 106-393

# Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

#### 18. How is this project in the best public interest? [Sec. 203(b)(7)] Identify benefits to communities?

Restoring a degraded riparian area improves public recreational opportunities; reduces sediment input into an anadromous fish stream; and enhances water quality for downstream users.

#### 19. How does project benefit federal lands/resources?

This project on a former mining site contributes to the restoration of Grave Creek and its associated riparian area through riparian habitat stabilization; reduction of sediment inputs into federal lands; recovery of natural hydrologic properties; and improvement of essential fish habitat for steelhead and Coho salmon.

### 20. Status of Project Planning

|   | NEPA Complete:<br>If No, give est. date of completion: 09/30/03  |         | Yes                | □XNo   |                          |       |
|---|--|---------|--------------------|--|--------------------------|-------|
| <ul><li>c. 1</li><li>d.</li><li>e. 5</li><li>f. I</li></ul> | NMFS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete: USFWS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete: Survey & Manage Complete: DSL/ODFW* Permits Obtained: |         | Yes<br>Yes<br>Yes  | □ <b>X</b> No □ No □ <b>X</b> No □ <b>X</b> No | □ Not Applicable         |       |
| h.  | DLS/COE* 404 Fill/Removal Permit Obtaine SHPO* Concurrence Received: Project Design(s) Completed:                                    | ed:     | Yes<br>Yes<br>Yes  | □ <b>X</b> No □ <b>X</b> No □ <b>X</b> No      | □ Not Applicable         |       |
|   | ept. of State Lands, ODFW = Oregon Dept. of Fis<br>c Preservation Officer  | h and V | Vildlife, CO       | DE = Army                                      | y Corps of Engineers, Si | HPO = |
| 21. Propo   | osed Method(s) of Accomplishment   |         |                    |  |                          |       |
|   | Contract County Workforce Other (specify):   |         | Federal<br>Volunte |  | rce                      |       |

### 22. Will the Project Generate Merchantable Materials? (Sec. 204(e)(3))

□ Yes X No

## Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000 Public Law 106-393

# Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

### 23. Anticipated Project Costs [Sec. 203(b)(3)]

| a. | Total County Title II Funds Requested | \$ 8,94 | 2.50          |                                     |
|----|---------------------------------------|---------|---------------|-------------------------------------|
| b. | Is this a multi-year funding request? | Yes     | □ <b>X</b> No | If yes, then display by fiscal year |
|    | e. FY04 Request: \$                   |         |               |                                     |
|    | f. FY05 Request: \$                   |         |               |                                     |
|    | g. FY06 Request: \$                   |         |               |                                     |

\*\*\* Note: If you have a complex budget, add it as an appendix. The Resource Advisory Committee will want to know specifically how the funds will be spent.

|   | Fed. Agency<br>Appropriated<br>Contribution | Requested<br>County Title II<br>Contribution | Other<br>Contributions | Total<br>Available |
|---|---|--|------------------------|--------------------|
| Item  | [Sec. 203(b)(4)]                            | [Sec. 203(b)(4)]                             | [Sec. 203(b)(4)]       | Funds              |
| 24. Field Work & Site Surveys                                       | \$700.00                                    |  |                        | \$700.00           |
| 25. NEPA & Sec.7 ESA Consultation                                   |   |  |                        |                    |
| 26. Permit Acquisition  |   |  |                        |                    |
| 27. Project Design & Engineering                                    |   |  |                        |                    |
| 28. Contract Preparation  | \$400.00                                    |  |                        | \$400.00           |
| 29. Contract Administration   | \$600.00                                    |  |                        | \$600.00           |
| 30. Contract Cost   |   | \$8,193.60                                   |                        | \$8,193.60         |
| 31. Workforce Cost  | \$250.00                                    |  |                        | \$250.00           |
| 32. Materials & Supplies  | \$1,040.00                                  |  |                        | \$1040.00          |
| 33. Monitoring  | \$250.00                                    |  |                        | \$250.00           |
| 34. Other   |   |  |                        |                    |
| 35. Project Subtotal  | \$3,240.00                                  | \$8,193.60                                   |                        | \$11,433.6         |
| 36. Indirect Costs (Overhead) (per year for multiple year projects) | \$324.00                                    | \$748.90                                     |                        | \$1,072.90         |
| 37. Total Cost Estimate   | \$3,564.00                                  | \$8,942.50                                   | \$                     | \$12,506.5         |

38. Identify Source(s) of Other Funding in Column C. Above [Sec. 203(b)(4)]

## Secure Kural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000 Public Law 106-393

# Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

#### **39.** Monitoring Plan (Sec. **203** (b)(6)

a. What measures or evaluations will be made to determine how well the proposed project meets the desired ecological conditions? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?

Annual monitoring will be conducted by wildlife and fisheries staff using visual surveys to determine if ponds are providing breeding or refugia habitat for waterfowl, amphibians, reptiles, and fish. Staff will establish photo points to document long-term trends in habitat and vegetative recovery.

b. How will the project be evaluated to determine how well the proposed project contributes towards local employment and/or training opportunities, including summer youth jobs programs such as the Youth Conservation Corps? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?

Evaluation will be conducted by Resource Area staff to determine opportunities provided for summer youth and/or school groups to help monitor and evaluate the rate of vegetative recovery and wildlife use of riparian habitats.

c. What methods and measures of evaluation will be established to determine how well the proposed project improves the use of, or added value to, any products removed from National Forest System lands consistent with the purposes of this Act? [Sec. 203(b)(6) and Sec. 204(e)(3)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?

Annual wildlife survey around the immediate area will be conducted by Resource Area staff to determine if increased wildlife use occurs as a result of this project and to determine if mining or recreational use is affecting the site.

d. Identify total funding needed to carry out specified monitoring tasks (Table 1, Item 33)

Amount: \$250.00